

DIARY NOTES

DD/S

13 January 1972

Telephone Conversation with [REDACTED] I talked to

25X1A

[REDACTED] by telephone about his planned luncheon with Mr. Phillips, the new Drainsville supervisor. He had apparently spoken to the Director about having Mr. Phillips come in and the Director specifically desired that Mr. Colby be included in the luncheon plan. [REDACTED] has now scheduled this for 12:30 on 28 January and the cast he had in mind included Mr. Colby, Mr. Osborn and me in addition to himself. I suggested that he add Mr. Blake and Mr. Wattles, which he agreed to do. It is our responsibility to inform them. I told [REDACTED] that I hoped Mr. Phillips would have some time after the luncheon for a briefing since at least I would like to have us have the opportunity to review the entire real estate matter and history with him. We should also have available the correspondence on the playing fields which came up in December and involved Broyhill as well as the Fairfax County people.

25X1A

[REDACTED] is quite sure that Mr. Phillips would have time available. He indicated further that Mr. Osborn had an interest in closing the loop with Mr. Phillips. We might also, if time permits, give him a very quick look at the compound and perhaps a look at a couple of our internal facilities.

Position Surveys: [REDACTED] called regarding the draft

25X1A

HN [REDACTED] on Position Surveys. He reflected Mr. Karamessines' belief, or he put it the DD/P's belief, that a three-year cycle was too tight and that it would be better to set a five-year cycle. I told [REDACTED] that I was startled that it was not a recommendation in the other direction mainly that such surveys should be current on an annual basis. [REDACTED] then indicated that there had been apparently some complaints from Chiefs of Station at the burden on their stations of the coincidence of IG and O/P surveys. I again said that the DD/P division chiefs are in complete control of the timing of visits and also that in most instances that the O/P surveys were in response to requests from the divisions or from the stations. I subsequently called back after reviewing the specific wording and told [REDACTED] that since the wording said three years as an objective rather than a mandatory requirement that I felt there was protection and I reiterated my surprise that the senior manager would prefer a longer cycle. I also mentioned the calls to Mr. Fisher.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

JWC:llc